Automated Scalability Assessment in DevOps Environments

Alberto Avritzer

eSulab Solutions, Princeton, NJ

ABSTRACT

In this extended abstract, we provide an outline of the presentation planned for WOSP-C 2020. The goal of the presentation is to provide an overview of the challenges and approaches for automated scalability assessment in the context of DevOps and microservices. The focus of this presentation is on approaches that employ automated identification of performance problems because these approaches can leverage performance anti-pattern [5] detection technology. In addition, we envision extending the approach to recommend component refactoring.

In our previous work [1, 2], we have designed a methodology and associated tool support for the automated scalability assessment of micro-service architectures, which included the automation of all the steps required for scalability assessment.

The presentation starts with an introduction to dependability, operational Profile Data, and DevOps. Specifically, we provide an overview of the state of the art in continuous performance monitoring technologies [4] that are used for obtaining operational profile data using APM tools.

We then present an overview of selected approaches for production and performance testing based on the application monitoring tool (PPTAM) as introduced in [1, 2].

The presentation concludes by outlining a vision for automated performance anti-pattern [5] detection. Specifically, we present the approach introduced for automated anti-pattern detection based on load testing results and profiling introduced in [6] and provide recommendations for future research.

ACM Reference Format:

Alberto Avritzer. 2020. Automated Scalability Assessment in DevOps Environments. In *ACM/SPEC International Conference on Performance Engineering Companion (ICPE '20 Companion), April 20–24, 2020, Edmonton, AB, Canada.* ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1 page. https://doi.org/10.1145/3375555. 3384936

APPROACH

The PPTAM tool [1, 2] implements a methodology for automated scalability assessment in DevOps environments, which uses a partition of the input domain [7] based on operational profile data and scalability testing results for the assessment of architecture deployment alternatives.

The PPTAM tool methodology for automated scalability assessment implements the following steps: (i) operational profile data

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from Permissions@acm.org. *ICPE '20 Companion, April 20–24, 2020, Edmonton, AB, Canada* © 2020 Association for Computing Machinery. ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-7109-4/20/04...\$15.00

https://doi.org/10.1145/3375555.3384936

analysis and steady state probability estimation, (ii) automated load test execution for each architecture alternative to be assessed, (iii) automated definition of the scalability requirement and automated fail/pass assessment.

The approach introduced in [6] employed a Java profiler to create Java snapshots during load testing execution. Automated performance antipattern detection was implemented by applying heuristics based on defined thresholds. For example, for the Circuitous Treasure Hunt (CTH) performance anti-pattern, thresholds on the rate of method calls and hardware devices utilization were used. In contrast, for the Extensive Processing (EP) performance antipattern, thresholds on the number of blocked threads and on the methods execution time were employed.

As a topic for future research, we would like to propose the application of systematic statistical characterization performance anti-patterns using performance signatures [3]. Specifically, we would like to define approaches for measurements and modeling with the objective of identifying the performance signatures of specific anti-patterns. Variables of interest could include response time, CPU utilization, memory usage, and database usage.

CONCLUSIONS

The ubiquity of CI/CD and DevOps processes have created new challenges for the application of scalability assessment approaches that are based on operational profile specifications [7]. In addition, once scalability issues are uncovered, there is a need to identify the failed component(s) and provide recommendations for scalability improvements.

REFERENCES

- Alberto Avritzer, Vincenzo Ferme, Andrea Janes, Barbara Russo, Henning Schulz, and André van Hoorn. 2018. A Quantitative Approach for the Assessment of Microservice Architecture Deployment Alternatives by Automated Performance Testing. In Proc. ECSA 2018. 159–174.
- [2] Alberto Avritzer, Daniel S. Menasché, Vilc Rufino, Barbara Russo, Andrea Janes, Vincenzo Ferme, André van Hoorn, and Henning Schulz. 2020. Scalability Assessment of Microservice Architecture Deployment Configurations: A Domain-based Approach Leveraging Operational Profiles and Load Tests. *Journal of Systems and* Software (2020).
- [3] Alberto Avritzer, Rajanikanth Tanikella, Kiran James, Robert G. Cole, and Elaine Weyuker. 2010. Monitoring for Security Intrusion Using Performance Signatures. In Proceedings of the First Joint WOSP/SIPEW International Conference on Performance Engineering (WOSP/SIPEW '10). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 93–104. https://doi.org/10.1145/1712605.1712623
- [4] Christoph Heger, André van Hoorn, Mario Mann, and Dusan Okanovic. 2017. Application Performance Management: State of the Art and Challenges for the Future. In *Proc. ICPE 2017.* 429–432.
- [5] Connie U. Smith and Lloyd G. Williams. 2000. Software Performance Antipatterns. In Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Software and Performance (WOSP '00). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 127–136. https://doi.org/10.1145/350391.350420
- [6] Catia Trubiani, Alexander Bran, André van Hoorn, Alberto Avritzer, and Holger Knoche. 2018. Exploiting load testing and profiling for Performance Antipattern Detection. *Information and Software Technology* 95 (2018), 329 – 345. https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2017.11.016
- [7] E. J. Weyuker and A. Avritzer. 2002. A Metric for Predicting the Performance of an Application Under a Growing Workload. *IBM Syst. J.* 41, 1 (Jan. 2002), 45–54.