# WOSP-C '15: Workshop on Challenges in Performance Methods for Software Development

Murray Woodside Carleton University Ottawa, Canada 1-613-520-5721 cmw@sce.carleton.ca

# ABSTRACT

The first ACM Workshop on Challenges in Performance Methods for Software Development is held in Austin, Texas, on Jan. 31 2015, and is co-located with the 2015 ACM/SPEC International Conference on Performance Engineering (ICPE). Its purpose is to open up ne w avenues of research on methods for software developers to address performance problems. The software world is changing, and there are new challenges. As its name implies, the workshop includes the description of problems as well as solutions. The acronym WOSP-C also recalls the original discussion-heavy format of WOSP, the ACM International Workshop on S oftware and Performance, which has been a coorganizer of ICPE since 2010.

### **Categories and Subject Descriptors**

D.2 Software Engineering

#### **General Terms**

Software performance, Performance engineering.

#### **Keywords**

Software Performance Engineering.

# **1. CHALLENGES**

The workshop papers and discussions will explore the new challenges to product performance that have arisen due to changes in software and in the development process, such as:

- faster development means less time for performance-related planning and analysis,
- the need for scalability and adaptability increases the pressure while introducing new sources of delay,
- model-driven engineering, component engineering and software development tools offer opportunities but their exploitation requires effort and carries risks,

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the Owner/Author. Copyright is held by the owner/author(s).

*ICPE'15*, Jan 31 – Feb 4, 2015, Austin, Texas, USA. ACM 978-1-4503-3248-4/15/01. http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2668930.2688201.

- use of third-party services and components reduces the developer's control over the end-to-end performance
- mobile applications require fast response in a difficult environment

These software-related challenges have led to important performance failures, such as the roll-out of the healthcare.gov web site in the US. It is probably fair to say that

(1) performance is a major problem in system development today,

(2) no one is satisfied with the methods available to address it,

(3) there is no agreement on how to improve the situation.

This workshop is intended to describe the challenges and to explore ways in which software performance engineering methods can be developed to provide solutions.

New challenges imply new opportunities for progress, and there are new tools that we may be able to incorporate (for example, methods for big-data analysis). So suggestions for new lines of attack are as important for the workshop, as the characterization of the challenges.

# 2. SOFTWARE PERFORMANCE ENGINEERING METHODS

Three books that provide the background on methods for dealing with performance of software are those of Smith and Williams [1] (including making performance models from UML design models), Cortellessa et al [2] (using various kinds of models to analyze performance) and Bondi [3] (a book on useful processes, not model-based, and going beyond software).

Software performance engineering methods as understood for this workshop seek to structure and unify the understanding of performance effects and their causes, and to support extrapolation of existing knowledge to provide predictions of performance for new developments and configurations of a system. This generally requires some kind of model.

All kinds of models may be considered, ranging from regression models of data, through mathematical and simulation models of flows, contention, executions and timing in the system, to dynamic control models and semantic models of data gathered from execution. To be effective the models must relate seamlessly to existing or future system execution data. While purely datadriven performance tuning is not our main concern in this workshop, closely allied concerns such as measurement and monitoring methods are important.

#### 3. DISCUSSION TOPICS

Roughly half of the workshop will be devoted to sessions for focused discussion. The issues for discussion will arise out of the paper presentations, and out of the concerns of those present.

Some topics which are expected to be prominent are:

- **DevOps and Software Performance Engineering (SPE)**: is this a new opportunity? DevOps [4] integrates quality assurance (which includes performance concerns) with development and operations. QA usually means just testing: can SPE provide greater insights?
- Model-driven SPE: is it a success? Since the first WOSP there has been substantial research on S PE based on software models (e.g. UML models) (e.g. [5], [6], [7]). Is it ready for widespread use?
- Clouds, scale, complexity and unpredictability: large systems, rapid evolution, unpredictable workloads, complexity introduced by the combination of components that are not engineered to work together, make analysis unwieldy. New solutions may include big-data methods for analysis. A survey of current ideas is given in [8].
- Adaptive systems: how can SPE contribute? Model-based adaptation has the potential to be faster and to provide better and cheaper performance. A survey is given in [9].

The papers in this proceedings address these and other issues, and other topics of discussion will undoubtedly arise.

# REFERENCES

- Connie U. Smith, Lloyd Williams, "Performance Solutions: A Practical Guide to Creating Responsive, Scalable Software", Addison-Wesley, 2002.
- [2] Vittorio Cortellessa, Antinisca di Marco, Paola Inverardi, "Model-Based Software Performance Analysis", Springer, 2011.
- [3] Andre Bondi, "Foundations of Software and System Performance Engineering", Addison-Wesley, 2014.
- [4] DevOps description at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/DevOps
- [5] Fabian Brosig, Philipp Meier, Steffen Becker, Anne Koziolek, Heiko Koziolek, and Samuel Kounev. "Quantitative evaluation of model-driven performance analysis and simulation of component-based architectures", IEEE Trans on Software Engineering, IEEE Transactions, Oct 2014.
- [6] Murray Woodside, Dorina C. Petriu, Jose Merseguer, Dorin B. Petriu, Mohammad Alhaj, Transformation challenges: from software models to performance models, Software and Systems Modeling, v. 13 n 4 pp 1529-1552 Oct 2014.
- [7] Murray Woodside, Greg Franks, Dorina C. Petriu, "The Future of Software Performance Engineering", Proc Future of Software Engineering 2007, eds L. Briand, and A. Wolf, IEEE Computer Society Order Number P2829, May 2007, pp 171-187.
- [8] Yasir Shoaib and Olivia Das, "Performance-oriented Cloud Provisioning: Taxonomy and Survey", Nov 2014, submitted for publication, available on http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.5077
- [9] Matthias Becker, Markus Luckey, Steffen Becker, "Model-Driven Performance Engineering of Self-Adaptive Systems: A Survey", Proc. QoSA'12, June 25–28, 2012, Bertinoro, Italy.